

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,173	01/19/2000	Youn Gyoung Chang	8733.20039	2863
7590 10/10/2003			EXAMINER	
LONG ALDRIDGE & NORMAN, LLP 701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W. SUITE 600			LEE, EUGENE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20004		2815	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ý.	Salar and Artistantia	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/487.173		
Advisory Action		CHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eugene Lee	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she t with the	correspond nc addr ss	
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The did have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insion and the corresponding amount of the ad statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying th	
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment	

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

MALLAN SUPERVISORY (

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

EXAMINER

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other:

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Takekoshi clearly discloses a detecting device that uses detection electrodes 11, 12 to detect the presence of an object. Therefore, since the Applicant's Admitted Art, is also a detecting device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use these detection electrodes in order to detect the presence of an object in Takekoshi.